The New Ensign

No. 160 December 2022

This publication is for private circulation only




Calling The True Israel Peoples

Editorial

Dear Readers,

Bio-Warfare Disguised as Health Care



As the Christmas season fast approaches, a time when His people focus on their Saviour's birth. Despite its heathen connotations, is a time of joy when family and friends meet together strengthening their bonds. However, there is a long shadow hanging over the festive season this year.

This shadow is the unexpected death or severe disablement of many of our loved ones and friends. There is hardly a family that has not been hit in this way. Undertakers have remarked that they have never seen such a high increase in deaths over the normal average, particularly in young and very young people.

The UK Government is aware of this, per the graph (above) produced from its own website, showing nearly all increased deaths are among those that received the jab. Despite this, the government is now pushing the booster jab that is already causing additional health problems and as usual not reported in the MSM.

While the focus of recent months has been on covid and the war in Ukraine, the enemy has been rolling out its EMF cell towers and associated technology such as 5G (a military weapon) and LED lights to smart lampposts somewhere near you, with graphine oxide, fluoride and other "medications" added to water directed by smart meters is making even the unvaccinated ill!

This is nothing new! Women demonstrating outside RAF Greenham Common in the 1980's against atomic weapons, which went on for a number of years. They were microwaved by military radar causing them to have rashes leading eventually to Leukemia from which they died and the demos too!

There is some good news! All over the world qualified people are blowing the whistle on the evil plandemic named covid19, while the enemy is doing its best to quash the evidence, its so overwhelming that the dam is about to burst.

In the meantime we wish our readers a joyful time over the coming festive season and praise Yahweh for His protection over these dark, difficult and dangerous times!!

Editors (editor@newensign.com)

Contents

	Page
The Enmity - The Clash of Bloodlines (Part 3) Pastor Eli James	3
OT "Word for Word" Exodus Part 10 Pastor Steven H. Anderson	7
The Hoskins Report - False Identity	9
Harold Stough Notes - Church and State	11
Irritable Heart (Part 3) Arthur Firstenburg.	13
The Original 'NWO' Conspiracy Theorist - Mike King	18
Letters and Views	21
Genesis 6 (King James) All Definitions Come from the Bible...	24
Thatcher's Right-Hand Man Was MI5 Target - Jailing Opinions	26
Obituary Jim (James) Brittain	29
A Christian Response To 'Yahweh Did Not Create Us' Part 2	30
It Feels Lonely Sometimes - Laura Aboli	33
The Venetian Conspiracy (Part 6) - Webster G. Tarpley, Ph.D	34

The Enmity, Part 6: The Clash of the Bloodlines (4) By Pastor Eli James



FIRST, LET US LOOK AT STRONG'S DEFINITION OF 'GROUND,' #127, *ADAMAH* (PRONOUNCED "AD-AMAW"): ***"soil (from its gen. redness): -- country, earth, ground, husband [-man] (-ry), land.***

Most dictionaries list the most common meaning first and the least common last. I want to point out three meanings which do not imply literal dirt: *husband, husbandman, husbandry*. These three words are references to people, not dirt.

Next, the word 'tree.' 'Tree' is **Strong's** #6086, ets (pronounced "ates"): *…a tree (from its firmness); hence wood (plur. sticks)…carpenter, gallows, helve…pine, plank, staff, stalk, stick, stock, timber, tree, wood.*

Notice in the middle of all those definitions the word 'carpenter.' This is a reference to a *person*, not a piece of wood.

In William Wilson's **Old Testament Word Studies**, under the word 'tree,' we are given this definition: 3.) *A tree; often collective trees;* **green trees the righteous, dry trees the wicked, Ez. 20:47; 17:24, all trees of the field, all men, the high tree, lofty and powerful, the low tree, the weak and contemptible.**

Question: Are literal trees capable of righteousness or wickedness?

George M. Lamsa, in his book, **Idioms In the Bible Explained**, gives us the following idiomatic meanings: '*garden': metaphorically,* **a wife, a family;** *tree of life in the midst of the garden:* **sex; posterity, progeny. "Tree of life": eternal life.**

If the anti-seedliners are arguing that all idiomatic language must be translated literally, then about a quarter of translated literature would never be understood. Certainly, poetry and much fiction could not even be translated, because such language is often highly figurative. But idioms are different in that the expressions have very specific meanings that cannot be deduced from the literal definitions of the words employed. One has to know the history of the language in order to understand the meanings of idioms.

In the following verses, Scripture clearly equates trees, sticks, branches, roots and boughs with human beings:

"Joseph is a fruitful bough" – Gen. 49:22. According to the logic of the anti-seedliners, God is declaring that Joseph is a literal clump of small branches. Holly, perhaps?

> "Behold, I will take the stick of Joseph, which is in the hand of Ephraim, and the tribes of Israel, his fellows, and will put them with him, even with the stick of Judah, and make of them one stick, and they shall be one in mine hand."

-- Ezek. 37:19. Is Yahweh declaring that He is going to turn these Israelites into literal pieces of wood?

> "*If he commit iniquity, I will chasten him with the rod of men*" **-- II Sam. 7:14**
>
> **"Remember thy congregation, which thou hast purchased of old; the rod of thine inheritance, which thou hast redeemed." -- Psa. 74:2.**
>
> "And there shall come forth a rod out of the stem of Jesse, and a branch shall grow out of his roots." -- Isa. 11:1. Here we have the words, 'rod,' 'stem,' 'branch' and 'roots' all referring to the family tree of David and Messiah. Are we to understand these terms as literal pieces of wood, as the anti -seedliners would have us believe, or is the Father Himself using figurative language to convey the idea of a Family Tree?

Hundreds of examples can be adduced to show the legitimacy of translating such words as referring to human beings, not literal trees or wood. Trees bear fruit. Is the expression, "fruit

of the womb" a reference to fruit that you put on a table for consumption?

Let's Eat!

Statement #2: The word 'eat' can only mean eating literal food.

Response: Strong's Exhaustive Concordance tells us that one of the meanings of the word 'eat,' *akal*, is LAY. When Eve admitted to being beguiled by nachash, was she admitting to being raped? "He tricked me!" she said. One of the definitions of the word 'beguiled,' *nasha*, is "seduced."



Genesis 3:13 tells us "*And the woman said, the serpent beguiled me and I did eat*." Why should *"And the deceiver seduced me and I did lay*" be deemed impossible in the light of these alternative meanings?

I submit that one of the listed meanings ought to be "partake," because "to partake of" covers a wide variety of indulgences. Does "*and I did partake*" not cover the possible meanings being discussed here?

Here follow some biblical verses in which the meaning of the word 'eat' is clearly sexual:

> "Such is the way of an adulterous woman; she eateth, and wipeth her mouth, and saith, I have done no wickedness." -- **Proverbs 30:20**.

The context of this passage is adultery. Eating and adultery are compared synonymously.

"*And they called the people unto the sacrifices of their gods; and the people did eat, and bowed unto their gods*." -- Num. 25:2. Here is a good spot for the word 'partake' in place of 'eat.'

The **Wycliffe Bible Commentary** has this to say about the above passage, page 145: "The subject **They** is feminine, referring to the daughters of Moab with whom the men of Israel committed fornication."

> "Stolen waters are sweet, and bread eaten in secret is pleasant." – **Prov. 19:7.**

Now, let's read Genesis 2:17 in the light of the above context:

> "But of the tree of the knowledge of good and evil, thou shalt not eat of it: for in that day that thou eatest thereof thou shalt surely die.*"*

We all know what it means to "*know*" in the biblical sense. It means sexual intercourse.

This verse is talking about "knowing" good and "knowing" evil. Good knowing is "kind after kind." Evil knowing is mixing forbidden seed. The "forbidden fruit" is the race-mixed children of forbidden "eating." And the "tree of knowledge of good and evil" is the tree of mixed offspring as a result of miscegenation.

Now, Genesis 3:15 warns of the enmity between the two resulting offspring. The Father is warning Eve of the potential death of the White Race due to race-mixing.

He is **Not** warning her of her own immediate death due to poisoning by the "literal fruit." If that were the case, she would have died then and there. **But, no, the consequences bear upon her offspring, not just her.** If the meaning is non-sexual, how can the consequences of her sin bear upon future generations of Adamites? Look at what is happening in the world today.

The White Race is being ganged up on by all of the other races through immigration and miscegenation. Which ethnic minority is encouraging these other groups to race-mix with us? It's the offspring of the other seedline.

Singular Versus Plural

One of the stranger accusations hurled against two-seedline doctrine is that we distort Scripture with our interpretations. But just observe the contortions that our adversaries go through in order to argue against us. The second clause of Genesis

> **3:15 states:** "*it shall bruise thy head, and thou shalt bruise his heel."*

Statement #3: According to the anti-seedliners, the "bruising" does not refer to offspring in the plural but only to Jesus Christ in the singular.

The problem with this statement is that the preceding clause most definitely re-

fers to offspring, which is always a collective noun.

Collective nouns can be treated grammatically as being singular, but their meaning is always plural in the sense of a collection or group of individuals.



The Judea Version of the Original Sin

The word 'seed' means "progeny, offspring, children" in so many instances that this point cannot be debated. So, instead of debating that point, the anti-seedliners jump to the second clause and try to infer that it is not referring to the collective offspring of Eve but to the singular child, Jesus.

Actually, I agree that this passage is a prophetic reference to Jesus Christ. But it **Also** means offspring in the collective sense. How else would Messiah come in the flesh anyhow? – except through all of the intermediary offspring from Seth to Mary – as even Charles Weisman admits!

The seed of all of the intervening generations is an absolute biological necessity for Jesus to be born in the flesh, of the seed of David. How can these generations be ignored in the light of the first clause of the sentence?

Grammatically, since the pronouns ('it,' 'thy,' 'thou,' and 'his') of the second clause are direct references to the collective offspring of the first clause, there is no justification in assuming either one to be singular.

The words 'head' and 'heel' are clearly figurative, so no direct, one-to-one correspondence can be assumed with regard to the first clause. If I say "You children are buzzing like a beehive," the subject (children) is literal but the object (beehive) is figurative. The first is collective; the second is singular. I am still talking about a collective group of children in spite of the fact that I have used a singular noun to describe them.

In effect, the anti-seedliners are admitting that there is some sort of enmity between the Serpent's seed and the seed of the Woman, but they try to limit the "seed of the Woman" as a reference to Jesus Christ only. They think that by changing the value of the expression "his heel," they can circumvent the seedline argument that the enemy seedline began with Cain.

But since the Adamic seedline is reckoned patriarchally, the word 'his' is merely a reference to the male seed (sperm) that follows in these generations. And the fact is that, throughout history, True Israel has been followed around by Jewry wherever we have gone.

They have been nipping at *our* "heel," not just the heel of Jesus Christ! They simply will not leave us alone. The story of White Civilization is the story of how True Israel builds kingdoms and nations after which the Jews slither in, like snakes in the grass, and then subvert our culture with their usury and their pornography, gambling, infiltration of our institutions and other vices. The language of Genesis 3:15 fully bears this out.



The Serpent had his head bruised once by Titus, the Roman General (above) of Israelite stock who destroyed Herod's Temple. It has yet to be crushed by Jesus Christ at the Second Coming.

The Serpent's head was also bruised by Joshua, Saul, David, and all of the other Israelite Kings who made war against the Canaanites. We, as a race, have, by ignoring the constant threat that the Jews pose to our civilization, allowed them to nip at our heels throughout history. At least

twenty-two times in the history of Europe, the Jews have been evicted from our nations because of their subversive culture. **Because we are always looking ahead, we have failed to watch our backside; and the Jews always attack us from behind, from their familiar position, hiding in the grass, like serpents, waiting for a chance to bite.**

Idiomatic Hebrew

In any language, words can have multiple meanings. If there is any doubt as to the intended meaning of any word, it is always prudent to determine, to the best of our ability, the *context* of any given passage. In real estate, the three most important factors in selling and renting are "*Location, location, location*."

In translation, the three most important factors in determining meaning of a doubtful passage are "*Context, context, context*." The meaning of a word and the sentence in which it is used depends on its context. The meaning is not automatically determined by the most common definition.


In addition, when we translate from one language to another, we have to take into account expressions, such as idioms and figures of speech, or the translation will be hopelessly lacking.

Idioms are very common expressions which can be at complete variance from the literal meaning. Take, for example, the expression, "*Can I give you a lift*?" I'm sure that this idiom hearkens back to the horse and buggy, stagecoach days when a person often needed help getting up into the buggy or stagecoach.

Today, whoever uses this expression means "*Can I give you a ride*?" or "*Can I take you to your destination?*" The word 'lift' has nothing to do with riding in a vehicle. Its dictionary definition is "to raise up." Yet, everyone who uses this expression knows that it means "*Can I give you a ride?*"

Ancient Hebrew was no exception. Idiomatic expressions abound in Scripture and, in order to understand a given passage, one **MUST** take into account idiomatic usage before assuming a literal interpretation.

Unfortunately, the King James Version of the Bible, has, among its many faults, a severe lack of consideration for idiomatic expressions in its translation. Other faults include the fact that it is based on the Jewish Masoretic text. The



Jewish Masoretic Scribe

Masoretes were Pharisaic Jews who rewrote the Old Testament in order de-emphasize the prophetic role that Messiah Jesus would play. They also took out the 7000 instances of the word 'Yahweh' and replaced it with LORD.

Their excuse for doing this is the claim that "*The name of g-d is too holy to be pronounced*." However, there is nothing in Scripture which validates this claim. **The claim is Talmudic, not Biblical!!**

On the contrary, the Bible repeatedly admonishes us to "*Publish the name of Yahweh*." (Deut. 32:3), not deny its use. The Third Commandment says we are not to take His name in vain. **It does not say we are to stop using it**. How can you take His name in vain if you don't use it? This is a blatant example of false Jewish piety. With Rothschild's trillions, the rabbinate spends man-years of time devising slogans which will deceive the goyim.

Another one of their brilliant deceptions is the claim that the rabbis "study" the Torah. Yes, they study the Bible, but they don't **Teach** it, nor do they practice it. They teach the Talmud instead. Those who don't understand the nature of Jewish deceptions naturally assume that they teach what they study! The sheep are so gullible, aren't they?

If we are using exclusively a text that does not take idiomatic expressions into account, we have a serious problem. We are missing out on the meanings employed by the original authors. The translators have let us down.

The fact is that, idiomatically, the words "tree", "fruit", "branch" and "seed" are repeatedly used in the Bible to refer to human offspring, **the genealogical tree**.

There is no justification for us to deviate from this dual usage of words, literal and idiomatic. If an idiomatic expression is used more than once in Scripture, and consistently

so in different Books, then we have to give serious thought as to whether to translate literally or idiomatically. This is nothing less than honest scholarship.

Given everything presented here up to this point, the anti-seedliners' blanket denial of idiomatic usage is absurd. From the point of view of scholarship, it is an untenable position. It will not do to simply declare idiomatic interpretations invalid. You have to give an account of why a literal translation is preferable to the idiomatic translation. The anti-seedliners have not done this; nor is it likely that they can do it with regard to Genesis Chapters 2-4.

The anti-seedliners are adamant that these words can only be taken literally. They insist that any figurative or idiomatic interpretation which implies sexuality is invalid.

Given the above examples cited from Scriptures outside of Genesis 3 and 4, can this proposition be taken seriously? By not taking Hebrew idioms into account, the anti-seedliners have jumped to a premature conclusion. In essence, what they are saying is "*You can only use my interpretation. You cannot use your idiomatic interpretation.*" I'm afraid that this is simply a closed-minded attitude, and we all must understand it for exactly what it is.

Another great example of an idiomatic expression is "*turned into a pillar of salt*." When Lot's wife looked back at Sodom and Gomorrah, was she transmogrified into a literal pillar of salt? Possibly, but the idiomatic expression we are dealing with is still used in the Middle East today to mean "*petrified with fear*."

Evidently, what she saw was quite astounding and terrifying. Scripture just leaves it at that. If she were turned into a literal pillar of salt, then the experience would have killed her. If she was frozen in her tracks from terror, she may have recovered. Then again, she might have been scared to death, literally.

The word translated as "pillar" in Gen. 19:26 is #5333, *netsib*, meaning "*stationary, i.e. a prefect, a military post, a statue….*" The implication is standing erect or standing still, like a soldier at attention.

As listed in **Strong's Concordance**, this is the only use of this word in Scripture. A more common word for pillar is #4676, *matstsebah*, meaning "*something stationed, i.e. a column (or memorial stone)*." Here we have a slightly different shade of meaning, implying something set in place, like a stone pillar.

Here, again, the idiomatic meaning of an expression must be considered to see what the writer truly intended.

Since the translators of the KJV were apparently not aware of this idiom, they were left with only one possible translation: Lot's wife was literally turned into salt. Does the punishment fit the crime? Or was she, as the idiomatic translation implies, *petrified by fear*?

Do you, dear reader, still think idiomatic expressions should always be translated literally? At the very least, the reader should be made aware of the idioms so he can consider the alternatives. Apparently the anti-seedliners are either unaware of the idiomatic nature of ancient Hebrew or they don't want you to consider it.

Wouldn't you want to know about any relevant idioms? Wouldn't you want to know that, if someone wanted to give you some "ecstasy," it is only by means of a dangerous drug?

To be continued OS16006

Old Testament "Word for Word" Exodus Part 11 Translation by Stephen Howard Anderson



Chapter 15

[Note - This is a song in Hebrew. The tune for it is lost, and so is the one for Mir'yam's song]

1. Then sang Mosheh and the children of Yis'rael this song to Yahweh, and spoke, saying, "I will sing to

Yahweh, because He has triumphed gloriously *1342*: the horse and its rider He has thrown into the sea.

2. Yah *3050* is my strength *5957* and my song, and He is become my salvation *3444*: He is Eliy *410*, and I will prepare Him a habitation *5115*; Elohey *430* my father, and I will exalt *7311* Him.

3. Yahweh is a man of war *4421*: Yahweh is His Name!



4. Paroh's chariots and his army He has cast into the sea: his chosen captains are also drowned in the Sea of Reeds.

5. The depths have covered them: they sank into the bottom as a stone.

6. Your right hand, O Yahweh, is become glorious *142* in power *3581*: Your right hand, O Yahweh, has shattered *7492* the hated enemy *341*.

7. In the greatness of Your excellency You have overthrown *2040* those that rose up against You: You sent forth Your burning wrath *2740*, which consumed them as stubble *7179*.

8. With the blast *7307* of Your nostrils *639* the waters were gathered together *6192*, the floods *5140* stood upright *5324* as a heap, and the depths *8415* were congealed *7087* in the heart of the sea.

9. Said the hated enemy, "I will pursue, I will overtake, I will divide the spoil; my desire *5315* shall be filled *4390* upon them; I will draw my sword, my hand shall destroy *3423* them!"

10. You blew with Your wind, the sea covered them: they sank as lead *5777* in the mighty waters.

11. Who is like unto You *3644*, O Yahweh, among the elim *410*? Who is like You, glorious in holiness, revered in praises, doing wonders?

12. You stretched out Your right hand, the land swallowed them.

13. You in Your mercy *2617* have led forth *5148* the people which You have redeemed *1350*: You have guided them in Your strength to Your holy habitation.


14. The people shall hear, and be afraid *7264*; sorrow shall take hold on the inhabitants of Pelasheth *6429*.

15. Then the chieftains *441* of Edom shall tremble *926*; the chieftains *352* of Moab, trembling *7461* shall take hold of *270* them; all the inhabitants of Kenaan shall melt away.

16. Fear *367* and dread *6343* shall fall upon them by the greatness of Your arm they shall be as still *1826* as a stone; until Your people pass through, O Yahweh, until the people pass through which You have purchased *7609*.

17. You shall bring them in, and plant them in the mountain of Your inheritance *5159*, in the place, O Yahweh, which You have made for You to dwell in, in the Sanctuary *4720*, O Yahweh, which Your hands have established,

18. Yahweh shall reign forever *5769* and ever *5703*!



19. Because the horse of Par'oh went in with his chariots and with his horsemen into the sea, and Yahweh turned back the waters of the sea upon them; but the children of Yis'rael went on dry

land in the midst of the sea!" (End of Mosheh's Song)

20. And Miryam *4813* the prophetess *5031*, the sister of Aharon, took a tambourine *8596* in her hand; and all the women went out after her with tambourines and with dances.

21. And answered Miryam to them, "Sing you to Yahweh, because He is lifted up in triumph *1342*; the horse and his rider He has thrown into the sea!" **(End of Miryam's Song)**

22. So brought Mosheh Yis'rael from the Sea of Reeds, and they went out into the wilderness of Shur; and they went three days into the wilderness, and found no water.

23. When they came to Marathah *4785*, they could not not drink of the waters of Marah *4785*, because they were bitter *4751*: so therefore the name of it was called Marah.

24. And murmured *3885* the people against Mosheh, saying, "What shall we drink?"

25. And cried he to Yahweh; and showed Yahweh him a tree *6086*, which when he had cast into the waters, the waters were made sweet: there He made for them a statute and an ordinance, and there He tested *5254* them,

26. and said, "**If you will listen to the voice of Yahweh Eloheycha** *430*, **and will act uprightly** *3477* **in My sight**, **and will give ear** *238* **to My commandments**, **and keep all My statutes**, **I will put none of these diseases** *4245* **on you**, **which I have brought upon the Mits'rayim**: **because I** *am* **Yahweh that heals you** *7495*."

27. And came they to Eylimah *362*, where there were twelve springs *5869* of water, and seventy palm trees *8558*: and encamped they there by the waters.

Chapter 15 Notes

Verse 1 - Rev. 15:3 - The Song of Mosheh, and that of Miryam, are victory songs. Yahweh said He would "get honor" upon Paroh, and He did.

Verse 2 - Psalms 118:14; Isaiah 12:2;

Verse 3 - It leaves no doubt as to the proper Name, YHWH is His Name. In several places, the Name was replaced with adonay *136* in this chapter, and I have restored it.

Verse 23 - There was a chemical problem with the waters at Marah. The tree probably had a neutralizing agent in it, and that is why Yahweh told Mosheh to toss it in the water. Remember - Yahweh invented both chemistry, and water.

Verse 26 - The big IF comes into play again. This is the test *5254* - obey, or not obey. It seems an easy choice, but how much trouble do we have doing it today? This is why The Messiah came to make propitiation for us. The word for "uprightly" - yashar, is the same as in "The Book of Yashar (Jasher), or "The Book of the Upright".

To be continued

The Hoskins Report
Chapter 265
Volksverraad - Betrayal - Review (Part 2)
By
Piet Pretorius



Why The Big Lie

SAXONS ARE CHRISTIANS - OR THEY ARE NOTHING. CHRISTIANS BELIEVE THAT "WE MUST OBEY GOD RATHER THAN MEN. "ACTS 5:29. The establishment churches, claiming to be spiritual Israel, teach that man-made statutes are to be followed instead. To keep Saxons quiet, establishment priests promote the following three things:

1. They Teach the Dogma That All the People of World Are Descended from Noah[6].

The doctrine that Whites and non-Whites both originated in one set of ancestors logically results in the silly theory that separate races must be a myth and that one God fathered us all. This theory violates the **WORD** and nullifies God's command, "A bastard (Heb: mamzêr - mixed breed, mongrel) shall not enter into the congregation of Israel."

Deut 23:2. The dogma drags on to its logical conclusion which is that since everyone is descended from Noah, all must be bastards (mixed- breeds) from the beginning[7]. Noah carried non-Whites on his ark, but not as "blush red" men, but under another name.

1. Co-opt the title "Israel"

The title "Israel" infers the power "To speak as God." To seize this title, establishment church dogma proclaims that there is no more unmixed physical Israel to inherit the title, that unmixed Israel "disappeared," and that they are taking its place as new "spiritual Israel." This justifies their possessing and monopolizing the wealth of the world - the heritage of Jacob-Israel[8].

2. Ignore the Word MAN

Ignoring the word man ("blush red") permits the Establishment Church to continue to receive tribute from man and human (hued-man) alike. The Establishment Church sits securely on its ancient feudal throne proclaiming that it holds the keys to heaven, while carefully protecting itself with hired politicians and their mercenary armies. This is near the centre of Babylon where the Saxon-Israel message is banned[9].

Back To The Book

The book Tribes, mentioned above, faithfully follows the Saxon-Israel message with a couple of wrinkles that one would expect. Some of them are the same wrinkles used by Manassah ben Israel in 1650. Both proclaim that Saxon-Israel is composed of 10 tribes,10 the other two

tribes being the Jews - one of which is Judah. The Jews say that being Judah gives them the right to rule the rest of Israel - meaning us[11].

Manassah Ben Israel

Letter of Commendation



The most interesting thing about the book is the Letter of Commendation in the front, from Rabbi Abraham Feld of the Maccabee Institute, POB 31264, Jerusalem, Israel. He states that, "Upon reading Mr. Yari Davidy's book on the dispersion of the ten lost tribes, and contemplating on how most people will receive this as startling new information, I was reminded of a law that US President Bush recently endorsed."

This law was passed on March 20, 1992, when President Bill Clinton signed a joint Resolution of both Houses of Congress recognizing the Seven Noahide Laws as the "bedrock of society from the dawn of civilization" and urged America to "return the world to the moral and ethical values contained in the Seven Noahide Laws[12]."

Rabbi Feld continued, "This ——is at once a recognition of Torah's relevancy and a basis for a common denominator among all the nations of the world ... a common heritage and bloodline that so many people share unbeknownst to themselves."

Now comes the punch line, "—-the Jerusalem Talmud declares in the days to come the ten tribes will be righteous converts (Sanhedrin, 10)" and concludes, "We of Mosad Maccabee have only the highest praise and respect for this valuable contribution to understanding our roots and heritage." This is an interesting admission. It spells out what we have long suspected, and that is, the Establishment Church has its itinerary and the Jews have theirs. If the Establishment Church can claim to be Israel and have God's power of attorney, the Jews can too, and

with the hired help of tele-evangelists they do and with their natural grasp of "showbiz," they can do it better. They insist that it is **They** who are physical Israel.

Noahide Laws

The world as seen by the Jew is divided into Jews and non-Jews. The Jews are ruled by one



set of laws and non-Jews are ruled by the Seven Noahide Laws. The Jewish holy book, the Talmud states: "Jews are obligated to try to establish the Noahide Code where ever they can." (Yad, Melakhim, 8:10: Judaica, p. 1189)

The actual "seven laws" deal with: 1. Idolatry 2. Blasphemy 3. Murder 4. Sexual Relations 5. Theft 6. Eating limbs of a living animal 7. Establishing Courts of Law

The problem is not the "7-Noahide Laws." On the surface they are harmless enough. The problem is the implementation of these laws. Unlike the **WORD** from the Bible, Noahide Laws are not set in concrete. Judaism is not governed by the **WORD** as is Christianity. They offer a different route in the following manner:

1. The Torah (Laws of the Bible), whose meaning is interpreted by

2. The Talmud, which is compiled and interpreted by

3. Rabbis.

Jewish rabbis have power that can only be compared with the power of a Hindu "perfect Christ - a god on earth[13]." Thus, observance of the Seven Noahide Laws, which is now law, is predicated on the opinions of Jewish rabbis, and that is a real matter of concern, as we shall soon see.

Their Place In World Affairs

The Jews distribute and merchandise a sizable part of the trade of the world, as they are first to admit. The International Trade Cartel (ITC) could care less who guarantees transportation and delivery of the world's trade as long as it is done smoothly and in order.

Mohammed became a world figure by placing himself and his followers astride the spice routes and stopping the caravans. After a year this forced the ITC recognize him as protector and to pay him protection moneys.

To be continued



Harold Stough Notes
Usaid and World Bank Unite Church and State (Part 2)
Government Money Silences the Church

DISCERNING USAID'S AGENDA will also reveal the true motivations of many prominent religious organizations whose mission has been co-opted to serve another sinister global mission. The omission that proselytising is not what the churches are doing is ominous considering that proselytising is the very foundation and mission of the Christian faith.

To not proselytise is to acknowledge the power of the state over them since it is the state which commands just how a church now under their financial umbrella must behave and what they must say. They, in fact, proselytise for the U.S. government and the United Nations while being silent in regards to their own faith. This is to what they all agree. If these religious organizations do not do what they agree to, they practice deceit

in regards to the federal government for the motivation of attaining money.

With What Are Nationalized "Christians" Uniting?

According to its web site, USAID is actively involved in promoting the interests and goals of the United Nations including:

- Global warming -- the scientifically untenable hypothesis promoted by the UN to develop and tighten controls on the countries of the world.

- Promoting sustainable development--Everything Must Change, according to USAID administrator J. Brian Atwood. The pursuit of "sustainable development" will require nothing less than a "comprehensive revision of institutions, practices, and attitudes on the part of every human being." The result of this plan is "downward harmonization" - the reversal of U.S. living standards to the conditions existing in most Third World countries.

- United Nations Population Fund--Although rarely stated publicly, it is the aim of these international bodies to reduce earth's population to what they consider a sustainable level. This is the goal of many of their programs.

- Cairo plus five--The UN Cairo conference on population and development held five years ago is actively being promoted by USAID. The Cairo agenda promotes such population control programs as those imposed on China. This includes forced abortion for families exceeding the "one child" limit. USAID is involved with building support for the "Cairo consensus."

USAID administrator J. Brian Atwood addressed the World Affairs Counsel in October 1998. He further clarified the role USAID is playing in the implementation of the globalism's agenda as it is quietly imposed on nation after nation. Atwood stated, "Secretary of State Albright summarized the essence of what we need to be doing:

'In the wake of the Cold War, it is not enough for us to say that Communism has failed–-we must heed the lessons of the past, [and] take advantage of the opportunity that now exists to bring the world together in an international system based on democracy, open markets, law and a commitment to peace.'"

The New System



Mr. Atwood

Politicians and bureaucrats are masters in the art of government double speak. Beneath the guise of flowers, their words paint a descriptive picture of their well-matured plans. Ms. Albright and Mr. Atwood speak of an international system based on democracy, open markets and law.

The democracy of which they speak is more accurately defined as World Social Democracy. This democracy is the rule of the majority who have been manipulated by the media and other propaganda agents to shape and condition the thinking into alignment with the will of these background rulers.

In this democracy individual "freedom" is lost in favour of "rights" granted to the individual by the state. All rights originate with the state; and make no mistake, what the state grants, the state can take away.

Like prices, rights are subject to change without notice. One select group may have rights that infringe and trample on the rights and freedoms of others. This is allowable in their democracy. Freedom of speech, freedom of thought, freedom of religion consists in subscribing to the state's views on appropriate speech, thought and religious beliefs. Inalienable rights endowed by the Creator have no place in this new international scheme.

The open markets of which they speak actually mean the eradication of national sovereignty. It

means that a major multinational corporation can move its operation into your city or country without seeking your permission, thereby, destroying local and national enterprise. By merit of their size, they can dominate the economy of a country killing the native economy.

It means that no longer can a developing country stand up to outside corporate power which can move in at will, ruin the economy, then threaten to move out if their demands are not met. Ultimately, the corporation rules.

Open markets leave a country vulnerable to outside takeover and manipulation, which is the primary object of these powerful interests. The World Bank is urging developing countries to liberalize their capital markets, putting developing economies at the mercy of Wall Street speculators.

An open market system, such as is being called for today by the powerful elite, is a system which tyrannizes the people of the world. It leaves countries open to corporate dominance, to be plundered at will. It is a free system only to the rich and powerful. Commentator Noam Chomsky says, "The whole farce is standard and natural under the rules of ‘really existing free market capitalism.' The biggest thug on the block basically does what he likes." [5]

To be continued OS14259

The Invisible Rainbow Irritable Heart (Part 3) By Arthur Firstenburg



Coronary heart disease appeared even more suddenly. In fact, before 1945 the disease did not exist in the zoo[13]. And the first heart attacks ever recorded in zoo animals occurred ten years later, in 1955.

Arteriosclerosis had been occurring with some regularity since the 1930’s in the aorta and other arteries, but not in the coronary arteries of the heart. But sclerosis of the coronary arteries now increased so rapidly among both mammals and birds that by 1963, over 90 percent of all mammals and 72 percent of all birds that died in the zoo had coronary disease, while 24 percent of the mammals and 10 percent of the birds had had heart attacks.

And a majority of the heart attacks were occurring in young animals in the first half of their expected life spans. Arteriosclerosis and heart disease were now occurring in 45 families of mammals and 65 families of birds residing in the zoo —in deer and in antelope; in prairie dogs and squirrels; in lions, and tigers, and bears; and in geese, storks, and eagles.

Diet had nothing to do with these changes. The increase in arteriosclerosis had begun well before 1935, the year that more nutritious diets were introduced throughout the zoo. And coronary disease did not make its appearance until ten years later, yet the animals’ diets were the same at all times between 1935 and 1964.

The population density, for mammals at least, remained about the same during all fifty years, as did the amount of exercise they got. Ratcliffe tried to find the answer in social pressures brought about by breeding programs that were begun in 1940.

He thought that psychological stresses must be affecting the animals’ hearts. But he could not explain why, more than two decades later, coronary disease and heart attacks were continuing to increase, spectacularly, throughout the zoo, and among all species, whether or not they were being bred.

Nor could he explain why sclerosis of arteries outside the heart had increased during the

1930's, nor why, thousands of miles away, researchers were finding arteriosclerosis in 22 percent of the animals in the London Zoo in 1960[14], and a similar number in the Zoo of Antwerp, Belgium in 1962[15].

The element that increased most spectacularly in the environment during the 1950's when coronary disease was exploding among humans and animals was radio frequency (RF) radiation.

Before World War II, radio waves had been widely used for only two purposes: radio communication, and diathermy, which is their therapeutic use in medicine to heat parts of the body.



RF Oscillator

Suddenly the demand for RF generating equipment was unquenchable. While the use of the telegraph in the Civil War had stimulated its commercial development, and the use of radio in World War I had done the same for that technology, the use of radar in World War II spawned scores of new industries.

RF oscillators were being mass produced for the first time, and hundreds of thousands of people were being exposed to radio waves on the job—radio waves that were now used not only in radar, but in navigation; radio and television broad- casting; radio astronomy; heating, sealing and welding in dozens of industries; and "radar ranges" for the home.

Not only industrial workers, but the entire population, were being exposed to unprecedented levels of RF radiation.

For reasons having more to do with politics than science, history took opposite tracks on opposite sides of the world. In Western Bloc countries, science went deeper into denial. It had buried its head, ostrich-like, in the year 1800, as we saw in chapter 4, and now simply piled on more sand. When radar technicians complained of headaches, fatigue, chest discomfort, and eye pain, and even sterility and hair loss, they were sent for a quick medical exam and some blood work.



When nothing dramatic turned up, they were ordered back to work[16]. The attitude of Charles I. Barron, (left) medical director of the California division of Lockheed Aircraft Corporation, was typical. Reports of illness from microwave radiation "had all too often found their way into lay publications and newspapers," he said in 1955.

He was addressing representatives of the medical profession, the armed forces, various academic institutions, and the airline industry at a meeting in Washington, DC. "Unfortunately," he added, "the publication of this information within the past several years coincided with the development of our most powerful airborne radar transmitters, and considerable apprehension and misunderstanding has arisen among engineering and radar test personnel."

He told his audience that he had examined hundreds of Lockheed employees and found no difference between the health of those exposed to radar and those not exposed.

However, his study, which was subsequently published in the Journal of Aviation Medicine, was tainted by the same see-no-evil attitude. His "unexposed" control population were actually Lockheed workers who were exposed to radar intensities of less than 3.9 milliwatts per square centimetre—a level that is almost four times the legal limit for exposure of the general public in the United States today.

Twenty-eight percent of these "unexposed" employees suffered from neurological or cardiovascular disorders, or from jaundice, migraines, bleeding, anaemia, or arthritis. And when Barron took repeated blood samples from his "exposed" population—those who were exposed to more than 3.9 milliwatts per square centimetre—the

majority had a significant drop in their red cell count over time, and a significant increase in their white cell count. Barron dismissed these findings as "laboratory errors[17]."

The Eastern Bloc experience was different. Workers' complaints were considered important. Clinics dedicated entirely to the diagnosis and treatment of workers exposed to microwave radiation were established in Moscow, Leningrad, Kiev, Warsaw, Prague, and other cities.

On average, about fifteen percent of workers in these industries became sick enough to seek medical treatment, and two percent became permanently disabled[18].



The Soviets and their allies recognized that the symptoms caused by microwave radiation were the same as those first described in 1869 by American physician George Beard. (Left)Therefore, using Beard's terminology, they called the symptoms "neurasthenia," while the disease that caused them was named "microwave sickness" or "radio wave sickness."

Intensive research began at the Institute of Labour Hygiene and Occupational Diseases in Moscow in 1953. By the 1970's, the fruits of such investigations had produced thousands of Publications[19]. Medical textbooks on radio wave sickness were written, and the subject entered the curriculum of Russian and Eastern European medical schools.

Today, Russian textbooks describe effects on the heart, nervous system, thyroid, adrenals, and other organs[20].

Symptoms of radio wave exposure include headache, fatigue, weakness, dizziness, nausea, sleep disturbances, irritability, memory loss, emotional instability, depression, anxiety, sexual dysfunction, impaired appetite, abdominal pain, and digestive disturbances. Patients have visible tremors, cold hands and feet, flushed face, hyperactive reflexes, abundant perspiration, and brittle fingernails.

Blood tests reveal disturbed carbohydrate metabolism and elevated triglycerides and cholesterol. Cardiac symptoms are prominent. They include heart palpitations, heaviness and stabbing pains in the chest, and shortness of breath after exertion. The blood pressure and pulse rate become unstable. Acute exposure usually causes rapid heartbeat and high blood pressure, while chronic exposure causes the opposite: low blood pressure and a heartbeat that can be as slow as 35 to 40 beats per minute.

The first heart sound is dulled, the heart is enlarged on the left side, and a murmur is heard over the apex of the heart, often accompanied by premature beats and an irregular rhythm.

The electrocardiogram may reveal a blockage of electrical conduction within the heart, and a condition known as left axis deviation. Signs of oxygen deprivation to the heart muscle—a flattened or inverted T wave, and depression of the ST interval—are extremely frequent.



Congestive heart failure is sometimes the ultimate outcome. In one medical textbook published in 1971, the author, Nikolay Tyagin, (above) stated that in his experience only about fifteen percent of workers exposed to radio waves had normal EKGs[21].

Although this knowledge has been completely ignored by the American Medical Association and is not taught in any American medical school, it has not gone unnoticed by some American researchers.

Trained as a biologist, Allan H. Frey became interested in microwave research in 1960 by following his curiosity. Employed at the General Electric Company's Advanced Electronics Center at Cornell University, he was already explor-

ing how electrostatic fields affect an animal's nervous system, and he was experimenting with the biological effects of air ions.



Late that year, while attending a conference, he met a technician from GE's radar test facility at Syracuse (above), who told Frey that he could hear radar. "He was rather surprised," Frey later recalled, "when I asked if he would take me to a site and let me hear the radar. It seemed that I was the first person he had told about hearing radars who did not dismiss his statement out of hand[22]."

The man took Frey to his work site near the radar dome at Syracuse. "And when I walked around there and climbed up to stand at the edge of the pulsating beam, I could hear it, too," Frey remembers. "I could hear the radar going zip-zipzip[23]."


This chance meeting determined the future course of Frey's career. He left his job at General Electric and began doing full-time research into the biological effects of microwave radiation.

In 1961, he published his first paper on "microwave hearing," a phenomenon that is now fully recognized although still not fully explained. He spent the next two decades experimenting on animals to determine the effects of microwaves on behaviour, and to clarify their effects on the auditory system, the eyes, the brain, the nervous system, and the heart.

He discovered the bloodbrain barrier effect, an alarming damage to the protective shield that keeps bacteria, viruses, and toxic chemicals out of the brain—damage that occurs at levels of radiation that are much lower than what is emitted by cell phones today. He proved that nerves, when firing, emit pulses of radiation themselves, in the infrared spectrum. All of Frey's pioneering work was funded by the Office of Naval Research and the United States Army. When scientists in the Soviet Union began reporting that they could modify the rhythm of the heart at will with microwave radiation, Frey took a special interest.

N. A. Levitina, in Moscow, had found that she could either speed up an animal's heart rate or slow it down, depending on which part of the animal's body she irradiated. Irradiating the back of an animal's head quickened its heart rate, while irradiating the back of its body, or its stomach, slowed it down[24].

Frey, in his laboratory in Pennsylvania, decided to take this research one step farther. Based on the Russian results and his knowledge of physiology he predicted that if he used brief pulses of microwave radiation, synchronized with the heartbeat and timed to coincide precisely with the beginning of each beat, he would cause the heart to speed up, and might disrupt its rhythm.



Heart Arrhythmias

It worked like magic. He first tried the experiment on the isolated hearts of 22 different frogs. The heart rate increased every time. In half the hearts, arrhythmias occurred, and in some of the experiments the heart stopped.

The pulse of radiation was most damaging when it occurred exactly one-fifth of a second after the beginning of each beat. The average power density was only sixtenths of a microwatt per square centimetre—roughly ten thousand times weaker than the radiation that a person's heart would absorb today if he or she kept a cell phone in a shirt pocket while making a call.

Frey conducted the experiments with isolated hearts in 1967. Two years later, he tried the same thing on 24 live frogs, with similar though less dramatic results. No arrhythmias or cardiac arrests occurred, but when the pulses of radiation coincided with the beginning of each beat, the heart speeded up significantly[25]

The effects Frey demonstrated occur because the heart is an electrical organ and microwave pulses interfere with the heart's pacemaker. But in addition to these direct effects, there is a more basic problem: microwave radiation, and electricity in general, starves the heart of oxygen because of effects at the cellular level.

These cellular effects were discovered, oddly enough, by a team that included Paul Dudley White. In the 1940's and 1950's, while the Soviets were beginning to describe how radio waves cause neurasthenia in workers, the United States military was investigating the same disease in military recruits.


The job that was assigned to Dr. Mandel Cohen and his associates in 1941 was to determine why so many soldiers fighting in the Second World War were reporting sick because of heart symptoms.



Pennsylvania Medical Library

Although their research spawned a number of shorter articles in medical journals, the main body of their work was a 150-page report that has been long forgotten.

It was written for the Committee of Medical Research of the Office of Scientific Research and Development—the office that was created by President Roosevelt to coordinate scientific and medical research related to the war effort. The only copy I located in the United States was on a single deteriorating roll of microfilm buried in the Pennsylvania storage facility of the National Library of Medicine[26].




Unlike their predecessors since the time of Sigmund Freud, this medical team not only took these anxiety-like complaints seriously, but looked for and found physical abnormalities in the majority of these patients.

They preferred to call the illness "neuro-circulatory asthenia," rather than "neurasthenia," "irritable heart," "effort syndrome," or "anxiety neurosis," as it had variously been known since the 1860's. But the symptoms confronting them were the same as those first described by George Miller Beard in 1869 (see chapter 5).

Although the focus of this team was the heart, the 144 soldiers enrolled in their study also had respiratory, neurological, muscular, and digestive symptoms. Their average patient, in addition to having heart palpitations, chest pains, and shortness of breath, was nervous, irritable, shaky, weak, depressed, and exhausted. He could not concentrate, was losing weight, and was troubled by insomnia. He complained of headaches, dizziness, and nausea, and sometimes suffered from diarrhoea or vomiting. Yet standard laboratory tests—blood work, urinalysis, X-rays, electrocardiogram, and electroencephalogram—were usually "within normal limits."

To be continued




The Original 'NWO' Conspiracy Theorist
By Mike King (Real History Channel)




JOHN ROBISON WAS A PROMINENT PHYSICIST, mathematician and professor of philosophy at Scotland's Edinburgh University. He worked with **James Watt** on the early steam car and he later invented the siren. As one of Europe's leading intellectuals -- in an age when that actually meant something -- the conspiratorial Illuminati had attempted to recruit into their ranks, but he declined. In 1798, -- about 100 years before The Protocols of the Learned Elders of Zion Robison wrote "Proofs of a Conspiracy Against the Religions and Governments of Europe, Carried on in the Secret Meetings of Freemasons, Illuminati and Reading Societies" in which he exposed the secret societies behind the terroristic French Revolution.


Robison's warnings to Europe were stark, and spooky-prophetic: *"An association has been formed for the express purposes of rooting out all the religious establishments and overturning all existing governments —the leaders would rule the World with uncontrollable power, while all the rest would be employed as tools of their unknown superiors."*

More than 200 years before your favourite author inked 'Planet Rothschild' (banned by Amazon.com) Professor Robison had these scheming psychopaths all figured out.



PROOFS
OF A
CONSPIRACY
AGAINST ALL THE
RELIGIONS AND GOVERNMENTS
OF
EUROPE,
CARRIED ON
IN THE SECRET MEETINGS
OF
FREE MASONS, ILLUMINATI,
AND
READING SOCIETIES.
COLLECTED FROM GOOD AUTHORITIES,
BY JOHN ROBISON, A. M.
PROFESSOR OF NATURAL PHILOSOPHY, AND SECRETARY TO THE ROYAL SOCIETY OF EDINBURGH.
Nam tua res agitur paries cum proximus ardet.
THE FOURTH EDITION.
TO WHICH IS ADDED, A POSTSCRIPT.
NEW-YORK:
Printed and Sold by George Forman, No. 64, Water-Street, between Coenties and the Old-Slip.
1798.









UN = World Government. For decades, the Rothschild & Rockefeller Dynasties were at the pinnacle of the One World movement which Robison warned about 220 years ago. Jacob Rothschild with David Rockefeller (now deceased) pose for rare photo.

Robison on the Illuminati:

"It was then discovered that this and several associated Lodges were the nursery or preparation-school for another Order of Masons, who called themselves the Illuminated, and that the express aim of this Order was to abolish Christianity, and overturn all civil government."

Robison fingered Adam Weishaupt, a German-Jewish professor, as the man who founded the Order of The Illuminati on May 1, 1776 in Bavaria (Germany). Weishaupt was possibly a paid agent of the emerging Rothschild Family, also based in Germany. Weishaupt (code name Spartacus) recruited wealthy elites to his secret society. The Illuminati (Enlightened Ones) infiltrated Masonic lodges, which served as cover for their activities. They plotted the of governments, the destruction of Christianity, and the establishment of a world communal state, (New World Order) which future elites will one day rule.

It is interesting to note that May 1 is a "Holy Day" for Communists, Anarchists and "progressives." The German-Jewish Communists who briefly captured Berlin after World War I openly referred to themselves as "Spartacists" (after Weishaupt's Illuminati code name). It is also worth pointing out that "One World" Trade Center in New York, which replaced the demolished Twin Towers of 9/11 fame, not only contains pyramid/triangles embedded within its design, but stands 1,776 feet tall -- to honour the founding of the Illuminati, not the Declaration of Independence.








Standing at 1,776 feet, One World Trade Center is a tribute to the Illuminati's One World vision that Robison warned about 220 years ago -- Notice the elongated pyramids on all sides of the new building.

Robison described the delicate process by which powerful men and idealistic intellectuals were sucked into Weishaupt's global scheme:

"Weishaupt had long been scheming the establishment of an Association or Order, which, in time, should govern the world. ...

These (new recruits) are managed with delicacy and circumspection, that the timid may not be alarmed. In like manner, the political doctrines of the Order are inculcated with the utmost caution. After the mind of the pupil has been warmed by the pictures of universal happiness, and convinced that it is a possible thing to unite all the inhabitants of the earth in one great society, and after it has been made out, in some measure to the satisfaction of the pupil, a great addition of happiness is gained by the abolition of national distinctions and animosities, it may frequently be no hard task to make him think that patriotism is a narrow-minded monopolizing sentiment, and even incompatible with the more enlarged views of the Order, namely, the uniting the whole human race into one great and happy society."

Wow. Did this guy nail it, or what?







The New York Times.

ARMISTICE SIGNED, END OF THE WAR! BERLIN SEIZED BY REVOLUTIONISTS; NEW CHANCELLOR BEGS FOR ORDER; OUSTED KAISER FLEES TO HOLLAND

The State Department in Washington Made the Announcement at 2:45 o'Clock.

German-Jew Adam Weishaupt was code-named Spartacus. More than 100 years later, German-Jewish Communist leader Rosa Luxemburg and her gang of ghouls called themselves "Spartacists." As The New York Times front page above shows, the Spartacists actually took control of Berlin for a while, after the 1918 German capitulation of World War I.

Robison on the French Revolution:

"Nothing can more convincingly demonstrate the early intentions of a party, and this a great party, in France to overturn the constitution completely, and plant a democracy or oligarchy on its ruins. The Illuminati had no other object.


They meant to abolish the laws which protected property accumulated by long continued and successful industry, and to prevent for the future any such accumulation. They intended to establish Liberty and Equality, the imprescriptible Rights of Man (at least they pretended all this to those who were neither Magi nor Regentes.) And, as necessary preparations for all this, they intended to root out all religion and ordinary morality, and even to break the bonds of domestic life, by destroying the veneration for marriage-vows, and by taking the education of children out of the hands of the parents. This was all that the Illuminati could teach, and this was precisely what France has done."







Robison saw it coming: Super-centralization of state power -- easy divorce - marriage & family redefined and destroyed - rampant immorality










Image 1: Bastille Day in Paris. Nearly 40 years after Robison's allegations, Karl Marx himself reveals why the International Left glorifies the French Revolution: "The French Revolution gave rise to ideas which led beyond the ideas of the entire old world order. The revolutionary movement which began in 1789... gave rise to the communist idea which Babeuf's friend Buonarroti re-introduced in France after the Revolution of 1830. This idea, consistently developed, is the idea of the new world order." Robison warned about the property-grabbing "Great Reset" now being openly proposed by the Globalists: "**They meant to abolish the laws which protected property accumulated by long continued and successful industry, and to prevent for the future any such accumulation.**"

Source **The End**

News and Views



A Letter to HRH King Charles III 27-10-2022

Do not forget that as with the Prime Minister, the Monarch will surely have been “chosen” (read, approved) by the Deep State against whom no law and nobody has any effect. Presumably also because he is one of them.

Majesty,

Ref Constitutional law

You are no doubt aware your claim to the Crown of England originates in the 1689 Bill of Rights which incorporates the 1689 Declaration of Rights and the 1701 Act of Settlement which lays down the inheritance of the Crown.

Both these acts are major constitutional law. Law which you should be fully conversant with. The 1689 Bill of Rights laid out certain things a King of England could not do, but these were things England’s Kings could never legally do. What it did not do was remove any of the authority of the King.

Today legally, you have the same authority as England’s earliest Kings. I believe you have said you know your place as King and will not comment on anything you have commented on in the past.

You are not my choice of King but the law has made you King so you need to know what you can and cannot do. The Royal Prerogative was given to England’s Kings; it cannot be loaned or given to anyone else, even in the case of Regency the regent has only enough of the prerogative for the every day running of the Kingdom. The prerogative contrary to the House of Commons library which stated it is difficult to define the prerogative. In fact it is simple as King. You can do anything which benefits the Kingdom and your subjects. But if you do anything which harms even the lowliest of your subjects, that is illegal.

Now back to the 1701 Act of Settlement which actually laid down the inheritance of the Crown, a line of inheritance you are on. But the 1701 Act of Settlement does something else. It states a naturalized subject gets most though not all the rights of a natural born subject.



They cannot hold any order of nobility, they cannot sit in either House of Parliament nor can they hold any office of public trust. This is not racism, it is law; law with a good foundation.

The reason for this is because a naturalized subject’s first duty of loyalty is to the country of his birth or if born here and naturalized by his birth certificate then his first duty of loyalty is to the country of his father’s birth. Our forefathers, yours and mine, decided it took ten generations born here to knock the foreign out of them.

You have just appointed a naturalized subject as your Prime Minister. You will at your Coronation swear to rule us according to our laws and customs.

The 1701 Act of Settlement is one of those laws, a law you have already broken. Unless you are prepared to start your Reign as a clear and known liar, you will remove all naturalized subjects from all offices of public trust. The 1949 Nationality Act purports to repeal by implied repeal, this section of the 1701 Act of Settlement.

An ordinary statute law cannot impliedly repeal a constitutional law. And there is no more important constitutional law than that which lays down the order of succession. **Respectfully submitted, A. B.**

Comment on Letter to Charles III

Sir___, the Letter from a former Police Officer (Albert Burgess), who has made a lifetime Study of our constitution. He almost certainly understands it better than Buck House or Westminster. The Coronation will of course proceed with the Constitution being largely ignored, and our Head of State will continue as a non-participating, tourist attraction only, constitutionally ineffective, Monarch, like his mom. **Yours truly, Peter Mchugh.**

Letter to Charles III

Sir___, It would seem that the Act of Succession 1544 determined the priority of eligibility to the Crown. In his summary Albert suggests that the first in that line of succession automatically becomes monarch.

If that were so, the Coronation under the Coronation Oath Act 1688 in which the people's representatives presently decide by verbal statement whether or not to accept the first in line of succession as the people's lawful monarch and official Governor of the nation state, would be meaningless and the people's right of determination would be denied.

In the progression of the ceremony it is only after the election that the coronation oath is taken and the wedding between the monarch and the people is established. It must not be overlooked or forgotten that this country is only about the people and their elected monarch, it is not about the government and the political establishment which is constitutionally subordinate in every way to the people through their monarch.

As things presently stand, it would appear that the political establishment has predetermined the result and outcome of the coronation election by proclaiming Charles as king, perhaps in a bid to ensure the continuance of the unlawful governance to which we are now subjected. **Yours truly, Bob Lomas.**



Posted By KH, Westmidlands, UK.

Horse Chestnut Laundry Detergent

Sir_Horse chestnuts (conkers) are high in saponin – a natural detergent that removes dirt and grime from clothing. Autumn is the perfect time to collect conkers. They are easy to identify (pic. below).



Nb: Do Not Consume Horse Chestnuts as They Are Toxic. Thoroughly Wash All Kitchen Items and Surfaces That Come into Contact with the Nuts.

Method

Using a strong kitchen knife and cutting board, cut the conkers into large chunks. Alternatively, wrap a handful in a kitchen towel and whack really hard with a hammer to break them up.

Place the large broken pieces into a high-power blender and grind/pulse until they are crumbled (pic below).

Spread the conker crumb onto baking trays or dishes and place in a warming oven or bottom oven shelf on the lowest setting. The aim is to

dehydrate the crumb (not cook them), which can take a minimum of 2-3 hours, but often much longer. Keep checking the moisture level from time to time.

When the crumb is dehydrated and cooled, place in a storage container and label it. Adding a small muslin bag of rice to the container will help to draw out any remaining moisture and prevent mould.

To Use (Per Load)



Place a large heaped tablespoon or approx. 40-50g of crumb into a cup and add a little warm water (roughly 1:2 ratio by volume). Leave for 20-30 minutes so the saponin leeches out and the liquid turns milky.

Strain the liquid into a second cup and then discard the crumb.

Add the liquid to your washing machine in the usual manner. **Yours truly, Diana Coleman. UK**.

Quote

"The first panacea of a mismanaged nation is inflation of the currency; the second is war. Both bring a temporary prosperity; both bring a permanent ruin. But both are the refuge of political and economic opportunists." -- **Ernest Hemingway.** Posted by; **KH, West Midland, UK.**

It was important for them to detach us from God, to sever the bond, to misguide our attention in order to make us focus on the worldly, the material and the mundane.

That is how they control us, by making us think we are less; that we are 'useless eaters', prone to disease, defenceless, weak, mortal beings with no purpose. That is how they feed the incessant fear.

They have managed to convince the masses that we live in a hostile world, ridden with threats. A world of violence, scarcity, and disease. A world that is dying because of us.

Nothing could be further from the truth.

We are powerful, immortal souls having a physical experience in this absolutely miraculous Garden of Eden. There is endless abundance, you just have to open your eyes to see.

Endless water, endless energy, endless light and endless food, and humans are endlessly resourceful, resilient and creative. We have absolutely everything we need, not just to survive, but to thrive.

Scarcity has been engineered to keep the power in the hands of very few and keep us fearful and in competition with one another.

Now is the time to bring down all these false perceptions, to see things for what they are, to recognize the magnificence of Creation, to understand that we are part of it, to respect it, to take care of it and to be grateful to God for what he gave us. He's just waiting for us to see the travesty, the deception, and the stupidity of our ways.

Only when we repent from our foolishness, our vanity, our narcissism, our selfishness and our total blindness, will we finally understand who we are, who we are meant to be and how we had it all from the beginning without knowing.

God is within us and around us is his creation, what more could we possibly need? **Yours truly, Laura, USA.**




General Smuts (left) Persuaded Churchill to go to war with the Boars

The End

Genesis 6 (King James) All Definitions Come from the Bible... Not the "Book of Enoch"

From Our USA Correspondent


Methuselah. Genesis. NoahsArke.

CHAP. VI.

The Original King James Bible

6:1 AND IT CAME TO PASS, when men [all the different Races] began to multiply on the face of the earth, and daughters [non-Whites] were born unto them,

2 That the sons of God [White Race (believers)chosen by Yahweh] saw the daughters of men [non-White Races] that they were fair; and they took them wives [had sexual relations which produced mixed-blood children] of all which they chose.

3 And the Lord said, My spirit shall not always strive with **Man** [his chosen White Race], for that he also is **Flesh** (not "fallen angels"): yet his days shall be (reduced to) an hundred and twenty years.

4 There were giants [those tyrants who caused men to "fall"] in the earth in those days; and also after that, when the sons of God [White chosen people] came in unto the daughters of men [had sex with the other **Races**], and they bare children to them ["broken cisterns", unable to contain the "Holy Spirit"— Jeremiah 2:13], the same became mighty men which were of old, men of renown [these mixed-Race people became tyrants and troublemakers, too].

5 And God saw that the wickedness of MAN [not "angels"] was great in the earth, and that every imagination of the thoughts of his heart was only evil continually.

6 And it repented the ;Lord that he had made MAN on the earth, and it grieved him at his heart.

7 And the Lord said, I will destroy man whom I have created from the face of the earth; both man, and beast, and the creeping thing, and the fowls of the air; for it repenteth me that I have made them. [the "Flood" was only in the area that the Races were mixing. Other ancient Races (eg. Chinese, Negro, Pygmies, etc.) survived beyond the time of the flood.

8 But Noah found GRACE in the eyes of the Lord. [Because he did not mix his Race with others]

9 These are the generations of Noah: Noah was a just man and **Perfect in His Generations** and Noah walked with God. [and was protected, with his family, through the Flood]

10 And Noah begat three sons, Shem, Ham, and Japheth [All three were **White-skinned**].

11 The earth also was corrupt [with evil men] before God, and the earth was filled with violence.
12 And God looked upon the earth, and, behold, it was corrupt; for **All Flesh** (except for Noah's family] had corrupted his way [mixed Races in disobedience to Yahweh] upon the earth.

13 And God said unto Noah, The end of all **Flesh** (not "angels") is come before me; for the [that particular area of) earth is filled with violence through them [mixing of the Races]; and, behold, I will destroy them with [that part of] the earth.

****

Why is this important to understand? Because the "end of days" will be like those "days of Noah"—- and **Race-mixing**, again, will be encouraged by those who **Hate** Yahweh. We will **Again** receive Yahweh's judgment as happened

in Noah's day.... and in the days of the "Tower of Babel", which was a "multicultural society" as is developing in the world today.

****

One Must Know the "Characters" in a Story before the Truth Is Known: Defining the Term "Sons of God"

Galatians 3:26 For you are all **Sons of God** through faith in Christ Jesus.

Hebrews 12:5 and you have forgotten the exhortation which is addressed to you as SONS, "**My Son,** do not regard lightly the discipline of the Lord, Nor faint when you are reproved by Him;

****

Hebrews 1:5: [Yahweh **Never** called "angels" his "sons".....**Ever!!**]

For unto which of the angels said he at any time, Thou art my Son, this day have I begotten thee? And again, I will be to him a Father, and he shall be to me a Son?

****

"**Nephilim**" or "**giants**"—- [defined as: "violent ones", "the fallen ones", or "ones causing others to fall"]



Etymology[edit] The Brown - Driver - Briggs Lexicon (1908) gives the meaning of Nephilim as "giants", and holds that proposed etymologies of the word are "all very precarious."[5] Many suggested interpretations are based on the assumption that the word is a derivative of Hebrew verbal root n-p-l (?????) "Fall."

Robert Baker Girdlestone[6] argued in 1871 the word comes from the hif'il causative stem, implying that the Nephilim are to be perceived as 'those that cause others to fall down'. Ronald Hendel states that it is a passive form: 'ones who have fallen', grammatically analogous to paqid 'one who is appointed' (i.e., a deputy or overseer), asir 'one who is bound' (i.e., a prisoner), etc.[7][8]

The majority of ancient biblical translations - including the Septuagint, Theodotion, Latin Vulgate, Samaritan Targum, Targum Onkelos, and Targum Neofiti - interpret the word to mean "giants."[9] Symmachus translates it as "the violent ones"[10][11][12] and Aquila's translation has been interpreted to mean either "the fallen ones"[10] or "the ones falling [upon their enemies]."[12][13]

****

There were "Coloured-Races" ["Humans, or "Hue"-men ("coloured") people] on the earth **Prior** to the creation of **White** "Adam" (and "Eve"). Yahweh had instructed Adam (and Eve) **to Never Mix with the Other Races. They Were to Keep Their Bloodline Pure**—e.g. as Noah did in Genesis 6:9

The **Flood** in that area where Noah lived was to suffer the **Judgment of Yahweh** for disobeying by **Mixing the Races** of the "pre-Adamites" with the Chosen Adamite Race (White People). Noah and his family were saved because their bloodline was kept pure—Noah obeyed Yahweh. **Click-Here**



In Genesis 2: 7-8, the word "create" is not used; this time it says God "formed" Adam **(the Awdawm)** of the dust (elements) of the ground and breathed into his nostrils the breath of life and **the Awdawm** becomes a living soul. God breathed His Living Spirit into him and **the**

Awdawm became His physical offspring, an infinitesimal piece of God Himself. Adam passed this **Living Spirit** on to you, his White children.

Gen. 2:18-23: "And the Lord God said, It is not good that **the Awdawm** should be alone. I will make him an help-mate fit for him."

How Strange, God Had Already Created Females on the Sixth Day, Thousands of Years before Adam!

So God brought all these Pre-Adamic creations, including the beast of the field before **the Awdawm** who named them but could not find an help-mate fit for him. Do you believe for one moment that God expected Adam to find a wife among the four legged beasts of the field? I doubt if even a college professor would believe that.

These beasts of the field were the two legged, articulate members of the beast creation. The **Pre-Adamic** coloured races were not fit to mate with Adam.

The End OS23425

Thatcher's Right-Hand Man Was MI5 Target From Jailing Opinions



LAST WEEK THE REAL HISTORY BLOG REVEALED that the grandfather of Tom Tugendhat – a candidate for leadership of the Conservative Party and now seen as a potential Foreign Secretary or Defence Secretary in the next government – **was investigated for decades by MI5 due to his links to international criminals, arms dealers, and leading Zionists including the father of Israel's nuclear weapons programme.**

Today we examine documents showing that one of the most prominent British politicians of the 20th century was also targeted by MI5 – the UK's Security Service which counters subversion, espionage and terrorism.

This investigation of Sir Keith Joseph – who was to serve in Conservative cabinets during the 1960's, '70's and '80's and was considered the closest political ally of Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher – caused panic among MI5 officers in the summer of 1948, though at the time Sir Keith was a little-known financier, recently returned from war service.

So obscure was the future minister – eight years before being elected to Parliament – that MI5 staff resorted to looking him up in *Who's Who*!



Sir Keith Joseph (above left) with future Prime Minister Margaret Thatcher and other senior Tories at the launch of a Conservative Party policy statement* – The Right Approach – *in October 1976. This began the revolution of Conservative policy in favour of free market capitalism: Joseph was Thatcher's ideological mentor.

His name had come to their attention during MI5's interception of correspondence between

the Jewish Agency offices in London and the newly created state of Israel's representative at the United Nations, Abba Eban.

Like Joseph, Eban was a young Jew who served in the British Army during the Second World War – in Eban's case as a secret liaison with Zionist agents working in cooperation with Britain's Special Operations Executive – the 'dirty tricks' department of the British war effort.

Before his appointment to the UN, Eban was regarded by MI5 as one of the most important operatives of an increasingly aggressive Zionist secret service engaged in propaganda, intelligence gathering, arms smuggling and even terrorism.

He was posted to London in October 1946 alongside Teddy Kollek (future Mayor of Jerusalem) to create a new and more active Zionist intelligence operation, officially working for the Jewish Agency.



Abba Eban (centre) at the White House in 1951 with U.S. President Harry Truman (left) and Israeli Prime Minister David Ben Gurion.

British security and intelligence officers knew that for several years supposed 'moderates' at the Agency, especially Eban's ultimate boss David Ben Gurion, had coordinated terrorist and other subversive acts with rival Zionist groups including Menachem Begin's Irgun and Yitzhak Shamir's Stern Gang.

At other times however the likes of Ben Gurion and Eban had been bitter enemies of Begin and Shamir (each of whom later became Israeli prime ministers in their turn, while Eban served as deputy prime minister from 1963 to 1966 and foreign minister from 1966 to 1974).


Hence Abba Eban and his Jewish Agency offices in London were an important surveillance target for MI5.

In June 1946 the Agency's offices in Palestine (then ruled as a British mandate) were raided as part of Operation Agatha, a counter-terrorist operation by the British Army involving 2,700 arrests, including senior Agency personnel. British code breakers had been reading the Jewish Agency's secret communications, so MI5 and its sister service MI6 knew that Ben Gurion's disavowal of involvement in terrorism was bogus.

By 1948 their concern had shifted to Zionist money-laundering and arms dealing for the new Israeli state: Abba Eban and Teddy Kollek were leading figures in these secret deals.

It was against this background that MI5 officers opened a letter from the Jewish Agency to Eban, posted on 4th June 1948. Formerly top secret records now available at the UK National Archives show that one paragraph in the letter was heavily underlined by the MI5 department specialising in Zionist terrorism, espionage and subversion. It read:

"Sir Keith Joseph has asked me to convey his kindest regards to you. He wanted to see you in connection with one or two matters which had come to the notice of his circle, and he thought they might be able to help us. I put him in touch with Mr Locker. I cannot give details here since he asked that they should not be disclosed in writing."



Sir Keith Joseph has asked me to convey his kindest regards to you. He wanted to see you in connection with one or two matters which had come to the notice of his circle, and he thought they might be able to help us. I put him in touch with Mr. Locker. I cannot give details here since he asked that they should not be disclosed in writing.

Above: Part of the intercepted correspondence investigated by MI5

Berl Locker was the chairman of the Jewish Agency's board of directors, and a long time ally of Chaim Weizmann, newly installed as the first President of Israel.

An MI5 officer passed an urgent request to the service's registry, which in those mostly pre-computer days kept a massive card index of spies, saboteurs, terrorists, and just about anybody regarded as dangerous to the security of the state: "Please look up Sir Keith Joseph

everywhere you can think of – including *Who's Who*!"

MI5's Pamela Stiebel sent a memo to her colleague David Scherr, another young MI5 high-flyer who had run cross-border operations from Gibraltar against the German secret service. Postwar Scherr and Stiebel had become specialists on the new threat to Britain from Zionist intelligence and terrorist organisations:



David Scherr: MI5 Specialist on Zionist Espionage and Terrorism

"David – What, if anything, can we do about the para side-lined re Sir Keith Joseph?"

Scherr replied that no action should yet be taken against Joseph, except to enter his name in MI5's card index of Zionist suspects, and to copy the intercepted letter into the MI5 files on Abba Eban and Berl Locker.

An entry for Sir Keith was drawn from ***Who's Who*** and added to the file, noting that he was a 30-year-old baronet who had inherited the title from his father, Bovis construction tycoon Sir Samuel Joseph, in 1944 while serving in the

Middle East as a captain in the Royal Artillery. He had since become a barrister and Lloyds underwriter, and had begun to cement a position in the British establishment as a Fellow of All Souls College, Oxford, and an Alderman of the City of London.

MI5 have not yet published any later records that they kept on Sir Keith, though it is certain they took an interest when a man who had featured in their counter - espionage files became Conservative MP for Leeds North East in 1956 and went on to serve as a minister in successive Conservative cabinets.

In 1974 after the Tory election defeat, Sir Keith even became Shadow Home Secretary, in line to take responsibility for MI5 itself had the party returned to government! Would this have been the first time that MI5 acquired a political boss who had once himself been a target of their investigations?

Perhaps fortunately, Sir Keith's party was not to return to office for another five years, and he never got to run the Home Office. After briefly considering a campaign for the Conservative leadership, he stood aside to support the successful candidature of Margaret Thatcher and became her closest ideological ally in government, serving as Secretary of State for Industry from 1979 to 1981, then as Education Secretary from 1981 until his retirement in 1986.

In 2019 the Jewish Chronicle described Sir Keith as "the most influential Jewish politician of the past half-century".



Sir Keith Joseph stepped down as an MP in 1987 and was raised to the upper house as Lord Joseph of Portsoken (taking the title from his old ward on the City of London Council where he had started his postwar career - above).

In their book and PBS series on the transformation of the world economy, ***Commanding Heights,*** economists Daniel Yergin and Joseph Stanislaw wrote:

> "Arguably, Keith Joseph did as much as any other single person around the world to reshape the debate about government and marketplace, to take a variety of ideas and bind them together into a powerful critique of the mixed economy and, in the course

of things, help shape them into a political program."

In 2014 his name was dragged into a scandal over alleged paedophile abuse that obsessed the British press for several years. However, many of the allegations made during this affair have since been discredited. Having examined the witness statements and other documents considered by the Independent Inquiry into Child Sexual Abuse, I don't see any serious evidence against Joseph. **(Readers are invited to look at these documents themselves, by searching the IICSA website.)**

Unlike the paedophile saga, the links between Sir Keith Joseph and the Zionist spy network in 1940's London are part of real, documented secret history – not crank conspiracy theory.

While the investigation of George Tugendhat continued for decades and became a multi-volume personal file, MI5's investigation of Sir Keith Joseph is at present only visible in a few pages of a single file.

As readers of this blog and a forthcoming book will discover, related evidence shows the extraordinary scope of the Zionist intelligence effort in 1940's Britain – a time when organised Zionism was both ally and enemy of the British Empire.

We still live in the shadow of those years, so it is imperative that we uncover a truthful history of the period. **Keep reading the Real History blog for further revelations.**

The End OS23426

James (Jim) Brittain 1933 - 7th November 2022 Obituary Posted by a Close Friend of Jim in Northern Ireland



JIM PASSED AWAY PEACEFULLY ON THE 7TH OF NOVEMBER 2022. Much loved husband of the late Vera, devoted father of Stephen, Geoff, Carol and John, a caring father-in-law and loving grandfather.

Family and friends are welcome to call at his daughter Carol's home 35 Marina Park, Belfast, BT5 6BA

Funeral Service was held on Thursday 10th November 2022 at 1 pm in Cregagh Methodist Church, 28/30 Cregagh Road Belfast BT6 9EQ followed by committal in Roselawn Cemetery.

There was a very good attendance at Jim's funeral service, with a good few BI/Identity folk in attendance. The non-identity Methodist minister presiding actually mentioned Jim's interest in "British Israelism", and talked about a trip to Tara, where Jim took several non-identity "elders" on, although he didn't make the connection to Israel or Israelites.

Jim spent most of his working life as a telecom engineer, climbing telegraph poles and going down holes. He was involved with the TA and other organisations serving the Ulster Community.

Jim had a difficult time during the Covid restrictions, as being a sociable type, he went to many to many clubs and dinner talks. Most of

these closed and those that were open barred him because he did not have the jab!

His main interests were Scripture, Identity, history and world affairs in which he was well read and knowledgeable having over the years gathered a large library, containing many books now rare and difficult to obtain. One of these was an Identity book by Adam de Witt who wrote from a Dutch / German perspective, which Jim made available to this magazine and to Eli James who broadcast it on the popular programme "Bloodlines" (Eurofolk Radio) where it was read out and discussed line by line.

Jim was a longstanding subscriber and contributor to this magazine. He regularly took part in the New Ensign bi-weekly Skype chats, where he will be a great miss.

Jim had a great love of playing and listening to music, especially brass bands in which he played for most of his life, mostly using a euphonium, but more recently B flat horn and trumpet.

Jim was brought to the identity message about 40 years ago when he was taken to a meeting to hear an identity preacher who opened his eyes there and then, and he has never looked back since, unfortunately, the provider of this information unfortunately does not remember the preacher or venue.

Often described as "a real character" and best known for his love for his family, his kindness and his incredibly generous friendship. He was always ready with an answer, sound advice or (more often than not) a funny story, nor was he afraid of controversy, in the most unassuming way of course, and did so at every opportunity. A great loss has been suffered by those fighting the powers that should not be, by the passing of Jim.

The New Ensign is grateful to Jim's friend, who wishes to remain anonymous for the background information provided here.

Our sympathy and condolences to Jim's family and friends at this difficult time.

The End OS23428

A Christian Response To 'Yahweh Did Not Create Us' By a White Nationalist (Part 2)



Rosetti Continues:

DO YOU NOT RECOGNIZE HOW THIS BELIEF WAS CRAFTED by the very race of people who are more depraved and wicked than any other tribe or race on Earth? Jews have taught you that you are as wicked as they. That you are as damned as they. That you need to "confess your wickedness" to their god, so you can avoid eternal torment. You were not created so you could be damned.

Modern — non-Israelite — Jews indeed proclaim their innocence, claiming that they are sinless and have never deserved any charges made against them made by the "gentiles" — they certainly have done a masterful job in convincing "gentiles" that they are morally depraved compared to their Jewish superiors, but none of this has anything to do with what the Bible actually says.


The Jews manipulate Christians into "turning the other cheek" to Jewish depravity because they falsely claim to be "God's chosen" and that "Jesus was a Jew" — neither of which is true. It isn't the Bible's fault that Christians have been duped by the Jews who have subverted and perverted Christianity and the faith of the Old Testament.

Rosetti continues:

"You were also not created so you could be "saved," because you don't need to be saved from anything. The fact of your human existence in our physical reality is not a curse. It is a gift

from your gods — the gods of your ancestors, not the god of Abraham and Isaac. Christianity has nothing to offer the White race. We were moral and virtuous without it. We maintained stable, secure societies without it. We did "the right thing" without it. White people never needed Christianity to be moral people."

It sounds like Rosetti has written his own religion that all White people should follow — where he gets the authority to do so remains a mystery.



He claims that Christianity has "nothing to offer the White race," yet the Magna Carta and the U.S. Constitution — our fundamental precepts of law and order — are based on inalienable biblical principles of freedom and justice for all under God. European civilization — the first built to glorify Jesus Christ — has been far more advanced and prosperous — and morally grounded — than any previous societies built by the White race.

Only in the last 100 years — when Jews have gained ascendancy over Christendom — have the white European people fallen away from Christianity — and fallen into a state of moral degeneracy.

If Christianity is a "Jewish" conspiracy to subjugate the white race, then why are Jews feverishly trying to discredit it and encourage white people to become like-minded atheists and pagans? If Jews benefit from white people being "passive" Christians, then why don't they encourage and promote Christianity 24/7 in their media?

"If you have not already, I encourage you to read Germania by the Roman historian Tacitus. You will likely be shocked speechless when you discover that the Germanic peoples lived much like we would live today if we were able to live without Jewish influence and subversion."

What white person would want to live as the early Germanic peoples did in, say, pre-500 AD? Is that life — full of superstition, disease, and gruelling labour — really something modern White Christians would readily trade their lives for? According to historians, white slavery was common in pagan nations of Europe — how "noble" of them. This sounds like some very romantic wishful thinking.

"You don't have to fear Jewish "Hell." You don't have have to fear the wrath of the Jewish god. These are Jewish inventions, crafted to keep you terrified and obedient. If you love and cherish your White ancestry, you must come to the realization that your religion was invented by non-White people for the purpose of enslaving White people — and destroying our culture and heritage."

Rosetti is starting to repeat himself here — perhaps because he's run out of any more arguments. Early Anglo-Saxons worshiped their "warrior-god" — Odin — who wasn't even a god at all — he was a real-life tribal chief named Wotan, whom they ignorantly turned into a god over time — not much different from the pagan Egyptians who worshiped their mortal pharaohs.

What did worshiping a dead ancestor ever offer the white race? If these pagan gods were so beneficial for the white pagan race, why did their civilizations not advance until after they were converted to Christianity?

“Remember: Christianity is responsible for teaching us that our ancestors were wicked and brutal monsters who had to be “converted” for our own good.”

We are not aware of any such Christian teaching about our ancestors. As we previous pointed out, our European ancestors converted to Christianity, for the most part, quite willingly, without threat or coercion. Was there any coercion in pagan tribes about being forced to worship their “gods”? Since they were largely illiterate, we don’t know — but it wouldn’t be surprising if there wasn’t some punishment meted out for those who mocked the pagan gods of thunder and hunting.

“If you reject the wildly misnamed “progressives” who claim your being White means you were born sinful, it’s time for you to also reject the doctrine that claims your humanness means you were born sinful. You weren’t born sinful.

Your nature is not a curse or a burden. You weren’t created to pursue a Jewish version of “perfection.” You weren’t created to be put in a tiny box that forces you suppress your human nature for the sake of empty promises made by someone else’s god for another race of people.”



The concept of “original sin” has been debated by many Christians — it’s not a universal belief. God did not create Adam as “sinful” and inherently “bad” — contrary to what many believe. It was Adam and Eve’s disobedience of God that brought sin into the world. God created Adam to worship and obey Him for Adam’s own benefit — but he disobeyed God but putting his trust in the words of the Serpent — who promised eternal life without obedience to God. This disobedience is our problem — not our innate Adamic “nature.”



All societies — even pagan ones — demanded that we “suppressed” some of our “human nature” — especially if those “human” desires were a threat to the order of the tribe — say, murder, rape, lying, etc. — obeying the Ten Commandments hardly puts us in a “tiny box” and restricts our ability to enjoy life — and to call these rules a form of “repression” is hypocritical, considering Rosetti himself probably lives by them himself — and would even defend those “rules” if he didn’t know they came from the “evil” Bible.

“Friend, you don’t have to believe in anyone’s gods to recognize that Yahweh, the god of Abraham and Isaac, represents genocide, subversion, tyranny, degeneracy, usury, and greed.”

Rosetti again repeats himself — he’s clearly run out of ideas. He holds up all the behaviours that are prohibited and punished in the Bible and suggests that they are encouraged instead. This is a classic straw man argument — it’s pointless to defend a misrepresentation of the God of the Bible, but Rosetti feels this is a valid form of argumentation — something even his pagan Greeks would have admonished him for engaging in.

Conclusion

“You don’t have to accept the Jewish description of your Creator to recognize and be in awe of your Creator. But your Creator is nothing like the entity described in the Torah, in the Jewish scripture.

The Jewish scripture teaches you that your Creator is a jealous, easily-angered, vindictive, mercurial despot who specially favours Jews and will execute people on the spot if they anger him enough. You were not created by such a psychotic, anti-human entity."

More repetitions of the same straw man arguments. Notice the emotional appeal — if you hate "Jews" the way Rosetti does, don't worship their "psycho" god. Again, Jews have nothing to do with the Bible — they didn't write it — but Rosetti who knows Jews are liars yet chooses to believe them when they make this spurious — and destructive — claim.

Ironically, the Jews are pagans like himself — their occult religion — Judaism — is based on pagan beliefs straight out of Babylon — in complete opposition to the Bible — and they literally worship themselves — their desire is to replace God and rule the world themselves. Their beliefs, ironically, are very similar to the "native" pagan beliefs of his European ancestors before their conversion to Christianity.

Two of the greatest tools that Jews have used to dominate and subvert Christian nations are the so-called "Holocaust" and the myth that Jews are "God's chosen people" from the Bible — and, ironically, when White Nationalists like Rosetti grant them this false stature of being true Israelites, they unwittingly aid and abet this Jewish domination.

If Rosetti and his ilk truly had the best interests of his beloved Aryan people in mind, he would expose the Jews as the Israelite impostors that they truly are — and thereby help free his own people to reclaim their identity and their proper place in history.

The End OS23409

It Feels Lonely Sometimes By Laura Aboli



AT THE END OF THE DAY, EVEN WITH LOVED ONES AROUND US, we're still alone with our own thoughts, our worries and our insecurities.

I converse with myself all the time. I tell myself to calm down, to take a deep breath, to stop stressing, to not worry, to take time off, but I've noticed I don't always obey myself!

I guess I have taken the non-complying thing too far! Now I don't even comply to my own rules!

That's when I start talking with God. Mostly I thank him, I have so much to be grateful for, and then I ask for his guidance. I always feel better after our chat.

I don't get immediate answers but I have learned to pay very close attention to things and it's magical when answers come to me from the most unsuspected places.

I feel sorry for those who don't nurture their connection with the Creator. That's like turning your back to the source of life, of infinite wisdom, of limitless creativity, of love in the greatest, all mighty sense of the word! Why would you do that!?

No wonder humanity feels powerless, no wonder it feels helpless, we are like birds ignoring our wings, capable of flying but choosing to walk, fearful of being who we truly are, ignorant of our own divine nature!

No wonder we are where we are, we were given everything and we chose to turn our backs to it. Such foolishness, such folly!


The Great Awakening is about realizing our own stupidity, it's about shaking our heads in disbelief at our profound ignorance, our idiocy and our myopic existence.

It's about asking for forgiveness for our senselessness, for our egoic shortsightedness and our lack of gratitude.

The Great Awakening is about redemption, it's our chance to be better, to do better, to finally become who we were meant to be and free ourselves from all limiting beliefs and oppressing structures.

The time is now, the chance is ours, let's not fail to realize who we are, let's not fail to use our wings and fly.

The End



Occupy Till I Come—(Luke 19:13)! Posted By Daniel Johns



WHEN THE ENEMY SHALL COME IN LIKE A FLOOD, THE SPIRIT OF THE LORD SHALL LIFT UP A STANDARD AGAINST HIM. (ISAIAH 59:19)

12 - Woe to the multitude of many people, which make a noise like the noise of the seas; and to the rushing of nations, that make a rushing like the rushing of mighty waters!

13 - The nations shall rush like the rushing of many waters: but God shall rebuke them, and they shall flee far off, and shall be chased as the chaff of the mountains before the wind, and like a rolling thing before the whirlwind." (Isaiah 17)

15 - And the Serpent cast out of his mouth water as a flood after the woman, that he might cause her to be carried away of the flood.

16 - And the earth helped the woman, and the earth opened her mouth, and swallowed up the flood which the dragon cast out of his mouth.

17 - And the Dragon was wroth with the woman, and went to make war with the remnant of her seed, which keep the Commandments of God, and have the Testimony of Christ Jesus." **(Revelation 12)**

"And he saith unto me, 'The waters which thou sawest, where the whore sitteth, are peoples, and multitudes, and nations, and tongues'." **(Revelation 17:15)**

25 - But that which ye have already hold fast till I come.

26 - And he that overcometh, and keepeth my works unto the end, to him will I give power over the nations." **(Revelation 2)**

"Let no man deceive you by any means: for that day shall not come, except there come a falling away first, and that man of sin be revealed, the son of perdition." **(II Thessalonians 2:3)**

11- Behold, I come quickly: hold that fast which thou hast, that no man take thy crown.

12 - Him that overcometh will I make a pillar in the temple of my God, and he shall go no more out: and I will write upon him the Name of my God, and the name of the city of my God, which is new Jerusalem, which cometh down out of Heaven from My God: and I will write upon him My New Name." **(Revelation 3)**

1 - I beseech you therefore, brethren, by the mercies of God, that ye present your bodies a living sacrifice, holy, acceptable unto God, which is your reasonable service.

2 - And be not conformed to this world: but be ye transformed by the renewing of your mind, that ye may prove what is that good, and acceptable, and perfect, will of God." **(Romans 12)**

1 - He that dwelleth in the secret place of the most High shall abide under the shadow of the Almighty.

2 - I will say of the LORD, He is my Refuge and my Fortress: my God; in Him will I trust.

3 - Surely He shall deliver thee from the snare of the fowler, and from the noisome pestilence.

4 - He shall cover thee with His Feathers, and under His Wings shalt thou trust: His Truth shall be thy Shield and Buckler.



5 - Thou shalt not be afraid for the terror by night; nor for the arrow that by day;

6 - Nor for the pestilence that walketh in darkness; nor for the destruction that wasteth at noonday.

7 - A thousand shall fall at thy side, and ten thousand at thy right hand; but it shall not come nigh thee.

8 - Only with thine eyes shalt thou behold and see the reward of the wicked.

9 - Because thou hast made the LORD, which is my Refuge, even the Most High, thy Habitation;

10 - There shall no evil befall thee, neither shall any plague come nigh thy dwelling.

11 - For He shall give His angels charge over thee, to keep thee in all thy ways.

12 - They shall bear thee up in their hands, lest thou dash thy foot against a stone.

13 - Thou shalt tread upon the lion and adder: the young lion and the dragon shalt thou trample under feet.

14 - Because he hath set his love upon Me, therefore will I deliver him: I will set him on high, because he hath known My Name.

15 - He shall call upon Me, and I will answer him: I will be with him in trouble; I will deliver him, and honour him.

16 - With long life will I satisfy him, and show him My Salvation." **(Psalm 91)**

Ye that love the LORD, hate evil: He preserveth the souls of His saints; He delivereth them out of the hand of the wicked." (**Psalm 97:10**)

"The fear of the LORD is to hate evil." **(Proverbs 18:13)**

"And have no fellowship with the unfruitful works of darkness, but rather reprove them." (Ephesians 5:11)

"Whosoever therefore shall be ashamed of Me and of My Words in this adulterous and sinful generation; of him also shall the Son of man be ashamed, when He cometh in the Glory of His Father with the holy angels." (Mark 8:38)

The End OS23432

The Venetian Conspiracy (Part 6) By Webster G. Tarpley, Ph.D



MACHIAVELLI WROTE THAT THE VENETIANS had "fixed in their souls the intention of creating a monarchy on the Roman model." This is corroborated by a dispatch of the ambassador of Louis XII of France at the court of the Emperor Maximilian I some years later, which described the Venetians as:

"traders in human blood, traitors to the Christian faith who have tacitly divided up the world with the Turks, and who are already planning to throw bridgeheads across the Danube, the Rhine, the Seine, and Tagus, and the Ebro, attempting to reduce Europe to a province and to keep it subjugated to their armies."

These megalomaniac plans of the Venetians were no secret. In 1423, the Doge Tommaso Mocenigo had urged upon his fellow oligarchs a policy of expansionism which would make them the overlords "of all the gold and of Christendom."

The most penetrating indictments of the Venetians during this period were issued by Pope Pius II Piccolomino, who tried in vain to force Venice into joining a crusade against the Turks. A Venetian saying of this period was Prima son Vinizian, poi son Cristian. (I am a Venetian first, then a Christian.")

In his Commentaries, Pius II excoriates the Venetians for their duplicitous treachery, and establishes the fact that they are a pagan, totalitarian state. The Venetians, he says, have acted in their diplomacy:

"with the good faith characteristics of barbarians, or after the manner of traders whose nature it is to weigh everything by utility, paying no attention to honour. But what do fish care about law?

As among the brute beasts aquatic creatures have the least intelligence, so among human beings the Venetians are the least just and the least capable of humanity, and naturally so, for they live on the sea and pass their lives in the water; they use ships instead of horses; they are not so much companions of men as of fish and comrades of marine monsters.

They please only themselves, and while they talk they listen to and admire themselves—-They are hypocrites. They wish to appear as Christians before the world, but in reality they never think of God and, except for the state, which they regard as a deity, they hold nothing sacred, nothing holy.

To a Venetian, that is just which is for the good of the state; that is pious which increases the empire—What the senate approves is holy even though it is opposed to the gospel–-They are allowed to do anything that will bring them to supreme power. All law and right may be violated for the sake of power."



During many of these years Venetians were in a tacit alliance with the Turks. When, for example, a revolt against Venetian rule in Albania was started, threatening the Venetian naval base at Durazzo, the Venetians made a deal with the Turks to crush the revolt. On one occasion Pius II (above) received the Venetian ambassador to the Roman court and condemned Venetian policy with these words:

"Your cause is one with thieves and robbers–- No power was ever greater than the Roman empire and yet God overthrew it because it was impious, and He put in its place the priesthood because it respected divine law—

You think [your] republic will last forever. It will not last long. Your population so wickedly gathered together will soon be scattered abroad. The off scourings of fishermen will be exterminated. A mad state cannot long stand."



Ancona Italy - Modern Picture

In 1464 Pius II, despite a serious illness, travelled from Rome to Ancona to personally lead a crusade against the Turks. He wished to force the hand of the Venetians, who had promised him a battle fleet. He died shortly after the Venetian warships arrived, and Venice thereupon pulled out of any serious fighting against the Turks. But his attack on "the mad state" was on target, then and now.

During the first half of the fifteenth century, much Venetian energy was devoted to a rapid expansion up the Po Valley toward Milan. They seized Padua, Vicenza, Verona, Brescia, and Bergamo, reaching the Adda River, just a few miles from Milan. With Milan under Venetian control, the "new Romans" could bid fair to dominate northern Italy and then the entire peninsula.

Cosimo de'Medici, as we have seen, secured a Florence-Milan alliance by supporting the claims of Francesco Sforza, fighting a was against Venice to do it. Basing himself on this Florence-Milan axis, Cosimo then proceeded to create an uneasy peace in Italy that was to last forty years. This was the Italian League, formed at the Peace of Lodi in 1453, which united the leading powers of Italy, the pope, Naples, Milan, Florence, and Venice, ostensibly in an alliance against the Turks, who had for a time held a toe-hold in Apulia.

In reality, the Italian League was a Florence-Milan- Naples combination designed to check Venetian expansionism. In this it proved effective, giving the Renaissance almost half a century of time to develop under the *longa pax* of the Medici.

During these years, stymied in Italy, the Venetians concentrated on overseas expansion, including the conquest of Cyprus. But on the death of Cosimo's successor, Lorenzo the Magnificent, they began their systematic campaign to destroy the civilization of the high renaissance.

Their basic premise was that, given their own inability to devastate the centres of Renaissance culture and economic development, they must concentrate on duping the overwhelming military forces of European states like France, Spain, and the other Hapsburg dominions into accomplishing this task for them.

The most competent contemporary observer of these matters was Niccolo Machiavelli, active somewhat later in the post-Medici Florentine diplomatic service, and a factional ally of Cesare Borgia, Duke of Valentino. Machiavelli noted that the two most dangerous forces in Italy around the turn of the century were the Venetians and the pope.

His own hatred was directed especially against Venice, firstly because of the stated Venetian intention to subjugate Italy in a new Roman Empire.

Secondly, Venice more than any other state relied on armies of mercenaries, and thus embodied precisely that practice which Machiavelli knew had to be extirpated, in favour of citizen-soldiers, if Italy was to be saved from humiliating subjugation to the likes of the Hapsburgs.

Machiavelli pointed out that the disintegration of Italy began when the Venetians succeeded in turning Lodovico il Moro, successor of Francesco as Duke of Milan, making him their agent of influence. Lodovico was responsible for the first major invasion of Italy in many years when he agreed to support the claims of Charles VIII

of France to the Kingdom of Naples. This was the French king whom his father, the great Louis XI, considered a hopeless imbecile. In 1494 the French army crossed the Alps, accompanied by a Genoese advisor we will meet again later: Giuliano della Rovere.

This was enough to bring about the fall of the Medici regime in Florence, to the advantage of the Pazzi, Albizi, and related oligarchs of that city. These oligarchs immediately sought to crush the Florentine Renaissance using the regime of the demented Dominican monk Girolamo Savonarola, who set up a theocracy a la Khomeini. Savonarola proudly trumpeted that his rule was based on sound Venetian principles; his family was closely related to the Padua Aristotelian community. As for Charles VIII, he went on to establish a tenuous hold on Naples.

Several years later, in 1498, the Venetians repeated this maneuver, with the variation that this time it was they who blatantly invited the French to cross the Alps. This time the pretext was the French claim to the Milanese dukedom, and the dupe was a new French king, Louis XII. The French army knocked out Milan in 1500, a fatal blow to the Renaissance cultural ferment associated there with Leonardo da Vinci. Shortly thereafter, Louis XII decided to compensate the Hapsburgs with Naples. Naples accordingly became the first beachhead of what would shortly become a totally destructive Hapsburg hegemony in Italy.

Venice and Genoa Combine

For Venice, so far so good: Florence, Naples, and Milan had been ruined. But ironically, the same dumb Valois and Hapsburg giants which had taken out three dangerous rivals were now to turn like Frankenstein's monsters on the wily new Romans.

Venetian manipulations were about to boomerang in the form of an alliance of all of Europe against Venice. This was the famous crisis of the War of the League of Cambrai, which It nearly worked.

At Agnadello, near the Adda River, the Venetian mercenary army was crushed by an army composed predominantly of Frenchmen. The Venetians were driven all the way down the Po Valley to Padua, and they soon lost that as well. Machiavelli exulted that on the day of Agnadello, the Venetians lost everything that they had conquered in more than 800 years. Machiavelli was himself engaged in operations against Venice, bringing a grant of Florentine cash to the aid of the Franco-Imperial forces holding Verona.

With nothing left but the lagoons, the Venetian position was desperate. The doge sent a message to the pope asking for mercy, and announcing that Venice would vacate territory taken in the past from the Papal States.

Inside Venice, Agnadello brought on an orgy of hysterical self-flagellation among the terrified patricians. The banker Girolamo Priuli wrote in his diary that Agnadello had been a punishment for the sins of the Venetian nobility, among which he numbered arrogance, violation of promises, lechery in nunneries, sodomy, effeminate dress, and luxurious and lascivious entertainments.

Antonio Contarini, newly appointed patriarch of Venice, gave a speech to the Senate in which he characterized the Serenissima as a thoroughly amoral city.

The defeat was a punishment for the city's sins, he said. Nunneries were catering to the sexual needs of the rich and powerful. Homosexuality was so widespread that female prostitutes had complained to him that they had earned so little during their youth that they had to keep working far into their old age.

But more significantly, the shock of Agnadello set into motion a strategic review in the Venetian intelligence community which led to very far-reaching conclusions, some of which were not obvious before several decades had gone by.

To be Continued



IF THE TRUTH BE KNOWN



CD 127 minutes (listen to Audio Clip on site)
$16.00

Here you will find the ignored story of the massive deportations of the German peoples from Eastern Germany, Poland, the Baltic States, and the Sudetenland and its attendant horrors.

The entire library of many more audio programs is available as a bundle. All told, this is nearly 14 hours of great historical commentary! Plus, you realize a savings of $20 dollars. For full details and to purchase go to the website:—

http://www.untoldtales.net

Eurofolk Radio



Is now on air!

24 hr streaming of items of interest to identity believers including live broadcasts on matters of national interest and much more!!

TUNE IN NOW!

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/






Pastor Eli James
Sundays 16.00 hrs
(British Summer time)

Live on Eurofolk Radio and Eurofolk YouTube - Tune in and join in the chat

http://eurofolkradio.com/category/general/



This long sort after book is now available!

Click on image for details

League Sentinel

A Magazine For Patriots

For further details click HERE

Announcements



In The Name Of Yahwey auf Deutsch - Für weitere Informationen, auf das Bild auf der rechten klicken Sie bitte





www.theflameuk.com

Contact


Tel: 07984 775937

E-mail HERE

This website is dedicated to those who have an open mind and question the official Government narratives about COVID19 and the lockdown measures.


https://judgeforyourself.co.uk

GERMANY'S OWN IDENTITY MAGAZINE



CONTACT

pia-6@t-online.de



Die Banker Satans

Aktualisiert Erweitert Unzensier
von
Carrington Hitchcock, Andrew